REMARKS

The Examiner is thanked for the performance of a thorough search.

Claims 1-23, 47, and 49-72 are pending in the present application. The issues raised in the Office Action mailed November 23, 2010 are addressed hereinafter.

I. ISSUES RELATED TO THE CITED ART

A. INDEPENDENT CLAIMS 1 & 49

Claims 1 and 49 are rejected as allegedly unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Abrams et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,675,350 ("ABRAMS") in view of Schaeck, U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US 2003/0163513 ("SCHAECK2").

As amended, claim 1 "requires receiving user input via a user interface configured to receive a user-specified association between one or more page parameter names and one or more portlet parameter names; [and] based on the user input, generating and storing a mapping that maps the one or more page parameter names to the one or more portlet parameter names...."

It is respectfully submitted that ABRAMS and SCHAECK2, whether taken alone or in combination, do not describe the above feature of Claim 1.

Based on the foregoing, claim 1 is patentable. Allowance of claim 1 is respectfully requested.

Claim 49 has limitations that mirror those of claim 1. Therefore claim 49 is patentable for at least the same reason claim 1 is. Allowance of claim 49 is respectfully requested.

B. INDEPENDENT CLAIMS 18 & 66

Claims 18 and 66 are rejected as allegedly unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) on the same grounds as claims 1 and 49.

As amended, claim 18 requires "receiving user input via a user interface configured to receive a user-specified association between one or more events and one or more actions and a

Docket No.: 50277-2139 (OID-2002-226-01) 22

Ser. No. 10/600,284 filed 06/20/2003 Burns et al – GAU 2178 (Tsui)

Reply to Final Office Action

user-specified association between one or more event output parameter names and one or more

page parameter names; [and] based on the user input, generating and storing a first mapping that

maps the one or more events to the one or more actions and the one or more event output

parameter names to the one or more page parameter names...." It is respectfully submitted that

ABRAMS and SCHAECK2, whether taken alone or in combination, do not describe the above

feature of Claim 18.

Based on the foregoing, claim 18 is patentable. Allowance of claim 18 is respectfully

requested.

Claim 66 has limitations that mirror those of claim 18. Therefore claim 66 is patentable

for at least the same reason claim 18 is. Allowance of claim 66 is respectfully requested.

C. REMAING PENDING CLAIMS

The pending claims not discussed so far are dependant claims that depend on an

independent claim that is discussed above. Because each of the dependant claims include the

limitations of claims upon which they depend, the dependant claims are patentable for at least

those reasons the claims upon which the dependant claims depend are patentable. Removal of the

rejections with respect to the dependant claims and allowance of the dependant claims is

respectfully requested. In addition, the dependent claims introduce additional limitations that

independently render them patentable. Due to the fundamental difference already identified, a

separate discussion of those limitations is not included at this time.

II. CONCLUSION

The Applicants believe that all issues raised in the Office Action have been addressed.

Further, for the reasons set forth above, the Applicants respectfully submit that allowance of the

pending claims is appropriate. Reconsideration of the present application is respectfully

requested in light of the amendments and remarks herein.

Docket No.: 50277-2139 (OID-2002-226-01)

23

Ser. No. 10/600,284 filed 06/20/2003 Burns et al – GAU 2178 (Tsui)

Reply to Final Office Action

The Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned by telephone if it is

believed that such contact would further the examination of the present application.

A petition for extension of time, to the extent necessary to make this reply timely filed, is

hereby made. If any applicable fee is missing or insufficient, throughout the pendency of this

application, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any applicable fees and to credit

24

any overpayments to our Deposit Account No. 50-1302.

Respectfully submitted,

HICKMAN PALERMO TRUONG & BECKER LLP

Dated: June 2, 2011

/MarcelKBingham#42327/

Marcel K. Bingham Reg. No. 42,327

2055 Gateway Place, Suite 550 San Jose, California 95110-1089

Telephone No.: (408) 414-1080 ext. 206

Facsimile No.: (408) 414-1076

Docket No.: 50277-2139 (OID-2002-226-01)